of 4

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER – Page 1 Case No. 2:22-cv-00272-TOR

18 WEST MERCER ST., STE. 400 BARNARD

PageID.3899

Page 1

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98119 | IGLITZIN &

Defendants Joseph Emmons and Osprey Field Services LLC (collectively,

1

6

7

11

16

18

Emmons) seek reconsideration of the Court's April 29, 2025, order (ECF No. 150) denying their fee application. Although Plaintiff Faye Guenther recognizes that no response is required at this juncture (ECF No. 34 at 7), she nonetheless offers this brief response to underscore a few critical points.

Guenther opposed Emmons's original fee petition (ECF No. 138) for two principal reasons. First, Emmons violated the state statute under which he seeks fees, thereby barring himself from recovering fees under that law. *See* ECF 148 at 5–6. Second, the state statute doesn't apply in federal court because, under *Erie R. Co v. Tompkins*, 304 U.S. 64 (1938) and its progeny, (1) taxation of costs in federal court is governed by a federal statute and RCW 4.84.250, by its terms, is a Washington statute about taxing costs; (2) even if considered a fee statute, RCW 4.84.250 is a procedural fee-shifter inapplicable in federal court; and (3) applying the state statute would administer the law inequitably because the Washington Supreme Court has held that a plaintiff has a clear right in state court to voluntarily dismiss her claims before trial without facing RCW 4.84.250 liability. *See* ECF 148 at 6–14.

However the Court may view the points Emmons presses in his motion for reconsideration, Guenther urges the Court to consider the reasons she raised in her original opposition to Emmons's request for fees as it evaluates his reconsideration motion. Guenther respectfully submits that her original grounds for opposing

	Case 2:22-cv-00272-TOR	
1	Emmons's fee petition are dispositive and require the Court to deny his claim for	
2	fees.	
3	Should the Court desire any further response from Guenther to Emmons's	
4	motion for reconsideration, she would be happy to provide it.	
5		
6	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of May, 2025.	
7	s/Darin M. Dalmat	
8	Dmitri Iglitzin, WSBA No. 17673 Darin M. Dalmat, WSBA No. 51384	
9	Gabe Frumkin, WSBA No. 56984 BARNARD IGLITZIN & LAVITT LLP	
10	18 W Mercer St, Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98119	
	(206) 257-6003	
11	iglitzin@workerlaw.com dalmat@workerlaw.com	
12	frumkin@workerlaw.com	
13	Aaron Streepy, WSBA 38149	
14	Jim McGuinness, WSBA 23494 Streepy Lemonidis Consulting & Law	
15	Group, PLLC 2800 First Avenue, Suite 211	
	Seattle, WA 98121	
16	Telephone: (253) 528-0278 Fax: (253) 528-0276	
17	aaron@slglc.com jim@mcguinnessstreepy.com	
18		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff Faye Guenther	
20		

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date noted below, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to those attorneys of record registered on the CM/ECF system.

PARTY/COUNSEL	DELIVERY INSTRUCTIONS
Ambika Kumar Sara A. Fairchild Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 920 Fifth Ave., Ste. 3300 Seattle, WA 98104 ambikakumar@dwt.com sarafairchild@dwt.com	 ☐ Hand Delivery ☐ Certified Mail ☐ Facsimile ☐ E-mail ☐ U.S. Mail ☑ CM/ECF
John A. DiLorenzo Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 560 SW 10th Ave., Ste. 700 Portland, OR 97205 johndilorenzo@dwt.com	 ☐ Hand Delivery ☐ Certified Mail ☐ Facsimile ☐ E-mail ☐ U.S. Mail ☑ CM/ECF

DATED this 9th day of May, 2025 at Seattle, Washington.

By:

Esmeralda Valenzuela, Paralegal

18 WEST MERCER ST., STE. 400 BARNARD

Case No. 2:22-cv-00272-TOR

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98119 IGLITZIN &
TEL800.238.4231 | FAX 206.378.4132 LAVITT LLP